arXiv:math/0602268v1 [math.DG] 13 Feb 2006

Power Mean Curvature Flow in Lorentzian Manifolds

Guanghan Li[1] and Isabel M.C. Salavessa[2]

Abstract: We study the motion of an n-dimensional closed spacelike hypersurface in a Lorentzian manifold in the direction of its past directed normal vector, where the speed equals a positive power p of the mean curvature. We prove that for any $p \in (0,1]$, the flow exists for all time when the Ricci tensor of the ambient space is bounded from below on the set of timelike unit vectors. Moreover, if we assume that all evolving hypersurfaces stay in a precompact region, then the flow converges to a stationary maximum spacelike hypersurface.

Key Words: curvature flow - space-like hypersurface - evolution equation.

1 Introduction

Curvature flow has been studied extensively for more than twenty years. Mean curvature flow was first studied in a well-known paper of Huisken [8]. In [1], Andrews studied the motion of a closed convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the normal velocity proportional to a positive power of Gaussian curvature. While in [10], Schulze considered the power mean curvature flow of convex hypersurfaces also in the Euclidean space. In the case of Lorentzian geometry, Ecker, Huisken [2], and Gerhardt [3, 4, 5, 6] studied

---

1 Partially supported by CNSF Grants no. 10501011 and by FCT through the Plurianual of Project no. 1143 of CFIF.

2 Partially supported by FCT through POCI/MAT/60671/2004 and the Plurianual of CFIF.

Guanghan Li
Centro de Fisica das Interacções Fundamentais, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Edifício Ciência, Piso 3, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal, and
School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hubei University,
Wuhan, 430062, P. R. China; e-mail: liguanghan@163.com

Isabel M.C. Salavessa
Centro de Física das Interacções Fundamentais, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Edifício Ciência, Piso 3, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal;
e-mail: isabel@cartan.ist.utl.pt

several kinds of curvature flow of spacelike hypersurfaces in order to find hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures.

In this paper, we shall consider the motion of a closed spacelike hypersurface in a Lorentzian manifold, in the direction of its past directed normal vector field, such that the evolution velocity is a positive power of the mean curvature. Precisely, let N be a globally hyperbolic $(n+1)$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with a compact Cauchy hypersurface S_0, and M an n-dimensional smooth manifold. We shall investigate the following problem. Let $M_0 \subset N$ be a spacelike hypersurface the mean curvature of which is strictly positive, we then consider the power mean curvature flow

$$\dot{x} = sign(p)\, H^p\, \nu, \tag{1.1}$$

with initial data $x(0) = x_0$, where $sign(p)$ is the sign function of p, and x_0 an embedding of initial hypersurface $M_0 = x(0)(M)$. Here H is the mean curvature of the flow hypersurfaces $M(t) = x_t(M)$, with respect to the past directed normal ν and p a non-zero real number. The evolution equation (1.1) is a parabolic problem, the solution exists for a short time. If we assume that M_0 is a graph over S_0, then we can also write $M(t)$ as a graph over S_0, i.e.

$$M(t) = \operatorname{graph} u(t, x),$$

where $x \in S_0$ is an abbreviation of the space-like components.

For $p = 1$, Ecker, Huisken [2] and Gerhardt [3] studied the evolution problem $\dot{x} = (H - f)\nu$, in order to find a spacelike hypersurface with a given mean curvature function f provided so-called upper and lower barriers for (H, f) are satisfied. If N satisfies a mean curvature barrier condition and strong volume decay condition, Gerhardt [6] proved that the inverse mean curvature flow (the case $p = -1$ in (1.1)) exists for all time and provides a foliation of the future of the initial hypersurface M_0. Furthermore, if N is a asymptotically Robertson-Walker space, Gerhardt [7] showed that the leaves of an inverse mean curvature flow converge to an umbilical hypersurface and provide transition from big Crunch to big Bang.

If the Ricci tensor of N is bounded from below on the set of timelike unit vector, we shall prove that the power mean curvature flow (1.1), with $p \in (0, 1]$ exists for all time, and converges to a stationary maximum spacelike hypersurface when all evolving hypersurfaces stay in a precompact region, i. e. we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let N be a cosmological spacetime with compact Cauchy hypersurface S_0 and M_0 a closed spacelike hypersurface with positive mean curvature in N. If the Ricci tensor of N is bounded from below on the set of timelike unit vectors*

$$\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta \geq -\Lambda, \qquad \forall <\nu, \nu>= -1, \tag{1.2}$$

for a positive constant Λ, *then the power mean curvature flow equation (1.1) with initial hypersurface* M_0 *exists for all time, when* $p \in (0, 1]$. *Moreover if we further assume that the limit* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{x\in S_0} u(t, x)$ *is bounded from below, then the solutions to (1.1) converge to a stationary maximum spacelike hypersurface as* $t \to \infty$.

Remark 1.1. (i) *That the limit* $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{x\in S_0} u(t, x)$ *is bounded from below is equivalent to say that all evolving hypersurfaces stay in a precompact region of* N. *Moreover in this case, the flow converges to stationary maximum spacelike hypersurface without the assumption on the Ricci tensor bound (1.2) (see Lemma 4.1).* (ii) *If we suppose that the upper and lower barriers for the mean curvature hold like that in [3], the results in Theorem 1.1 still hold.*

For the notations and definitions we refer to section 2 for more detailed description. In section 3 we look at the curvature flow associated with our problem, and corresponding evolution equations for the basic geometric quantities of the flow hypersurfaces. In section 4 lower bound estimates for the evolution problem are proved, while a priori estimate for the norm of the second fundamental form is derived in section 5. Finally in section 6, we demonstrate that the maximum existence time is infinity and the evolution equation converges to stationary solution, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we state some basic concepts and formulas which can be found in [3] or [4]. Let N be a globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold with a compact Cauchy surface S_0. N is a topological product $\mathbb{R} \times S_0$, where S_0 is a compact Riemannian manifold, and there exists a Gaussian coordinate system $\{x^\alpha\}$, and such that the metric in N has the form

$$d\bar{s}_N^2 = e^{2\psi}\left(-d{x^0}^2 + \sigma_{ij}(x^0, x)dx^i dx^j\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where σ_{ij} is a Riemannian metric, ψ a function on N, and x an abbreviation for the space-like components (x^i). We also assume that the coordinate system is future oriented, i. e. the time coordinate x^0 increases on future directed curves.

Let M be a space-like hypersurface, i. e. the induced metric is Riemannian, with a differentiable normal ν that is timelike. Let (ξ^i) be the local coordinates on M. Geometric quantities on N will be denoted with a bar, for example, by $(\overline{g}_{\alpha\beta})$, $(\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})$, etc., and those in M without the bar, as (g_{ij}), (R_{ijkl}), etc. Greek indices range from 0 to n and Latin from 1 to

n. For a function u in N, (u_α) and $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ denotes respectively the gradient and the Hessian of u. We use the summation index convention on repeated indices. We assume the above time-like normal ν is past directed. Then the Gaussian formula of M is given by

$$x^\alpha_{ij} = h_{ij}\nu^\alpha. \tag{2.2}$$

We represent covariant derivative of a tensor as a full tensor. For instance

$$x^\alpha_{ij} = x^\alpha_{,ij} - \Gamma^k_{ij}x^\alpha_k + \overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}x^\beta_i x^\gamma_j, \tag{2.3}$$

where the comma indicates ordinary partial derivatives, and $\{\Gamma^k_{ij}\}$, $\{\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}\}$ the Christoffel symbols of M and N, respectively. The quantities $\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\varepsilon}$ denotes the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor, where $i = \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi^i} = x^\varepsilon_i\varepsilon = x^\varepsilon_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\varepsilon}$. The definition of the second fundamental form (h_{ij}) is taken with respect to ν. The Weingarten equation is given by

$$\nu^\alpha_i = h^k_i x^\alpha_k, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\nu^\alpha_i = \nu^\alpha_{,i} + \nu^\gamma\overline{\Gamma}^\alpha_{i\gamma}$. Recall the Codazzi and the Gaussian equations,

$$h_{ij,k} - h_{ik,j} = \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\alpha x^\beta_i x^\gamma_j x^\delta_k \tag{2.5}$$

$$R_{ijkl} = -\left(h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}\right) + \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}x^\alpha_i x^\beta_j x^\gamma_k x^\delta_l. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $M = \mathrm{graph} u|_{S_0}$ be a space-like hypersurface

$$M = \{(x^0, x) | x^0 = u(x), x \in S_0\},$$

The induced metric on M has the form

$$g_{ij} = e^{2\psi}\left(-u_i u_j + \sigma_{ij}\right),$$

where σ_{ij} are fuctions of (u, x), and $u_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}$. The inverse matrices $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$ and $(\sigma^{ij}) = (\sigma_{ij})^{-1}$ are related by

$$g^{ij} = e^{-2\psi}\left(\frac{u^i}{v}\frac{u^j}{v} + \sigma^{ij}\right),$$

where

$$u^i = \sigma^{ij}u_j, \qquad v^2 = 1 - \sigma^{ij}u_i u_j \equiv 1 - |Du|^2.$$

Hence, graph$u$ is space-like if and only if $|Du| < 1$. The contravariant past directed normal vector and its covariant form are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu^\alpha) &= -v^{-1}e^{-\psi}(1, u^i) = -v^{-1}e^{-\psi}(1, u^1, \cdots, u^n) \\ (\nu_\alpha) &= v^{-1}e^{\psi}(1, -u_i) = v^{-1}e^{\psi}(1, -u_1, \cdots, -u_n).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.3) and (2.7) one obtains

$$e^{-\psi}v^{-1}h_{ij} = -u_{ij} - \overline{\Gamma}^0_{00}u_iu_j - \overline{\Gamma}^0_{0j}u_i - \overline{\Gamma}^0_{0i}u_j - \overline{\Gamma}^0_{ij}. \tag{2.8}$$

Set $(\overline{h}_{ij})$ be the second fundamental form of the hypersurface $\{x^0 = const.\}$. Then

$$-\overline{\Gamma}^0_{ij} = e^{-\psi}\overline{h}_{ij}, \tag{2.9}$$

and by computing the Christoffel symbol of N one derives

$$\overline{h}_{ij}e^{-\psi} = -\frac{1}{2}\dot{\sigma}_{ij} - \dot{\psi}\sigma_{ij}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the dot indicates differentiation with respect to x^0.

In [3, 4, 5] it is defined a Riemannian metric $(\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ by

$$\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta = e^{2\psi}\left({dx^0}^2 + \sigma_{ij}dx^idx^j\right)$$

and corresponding norm of a vector field η by

$$|||\eta||| = (\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}\eta^\alpha\eta^\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with similar notations for higher tensors.

3 Evolution Equations

From now on, we always assume $p > 0$, and so $sign(p) = 1$. In order to study the evolution problem (1.1), it is convenient to consider the evolution equation

$$\dot{x} = (H^p - \tau)\nu, \tag{3.1}$$

where τ is a small positive number. The evolution problem (3.1) is a parabolic problem, hence a solution exists on a maximum time interval $[0, T^*)$, $0 < T^* \leq \infty$. In the following we show how the metric, the second fundamental form and the normal vector of the hypersurfaces $M(t)$ evolve. All time derivatives are total derivatives. Here is just the special case, we refer to [4] for more general results, so we omit the proofs of the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *The metric, volume element, the normal vector, and the second fundamental form of $M(t)$ satisfy the evolution equations*

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{g}_{ij} &= 2(H^p - \tau)h_{ij},\\
\dot{\nu} &= \nabla_M(H^p) = g^{ij}(H^p)_ix_j\\
\dot{h}^j_i &= (H^p)^j_i - (H^p - \tau)h^k_ih^j_k - (H^p - \tau)\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\alpha x^\beta_i\nu^\gamma x^\delta_k g^{kj},\\
\dot{h}_{ij} &= (H^p)_{ij} + (H^p - \tau)h^k_ih_{kj} - (H^p - \tau)\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\alpha x^\beta_i\nu^\gamma x^\delta_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\|A\|^2 = h_{ij}h^{ij}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The mean curvature H evolves according to the following equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H &= pH^{p-1}\Delta H + p(p-1)H^{p-2}||\nabla_M H||^2 \\ &\quad -(H^p - \tau)(||A||^2 + \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta) \qquad (3.2) \\ \frac{d}{dt}H^p &= pH^{p-1}\Delta H^p - pH^{p-1}(||A||^2 + \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta)(H^p - \tau). \qquad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. *The mixed tensor h_i^j satisfies the parabolic equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}h_i^j &= pH^{p-1}\Delta h_i^j - pH^{p-1}(||A||^2 + \overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta)h_i^j \\ &\quad +(p-1)H^p h_i^k h_k^j + \tau h_i^k h_k^j + p(p-1)H^{p-2}\nabla_i H\nabla^j H \\ &\quad +2pH^{p-1}\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}x_m^\alpha x_i^\beta x_k^\gamma x_r^\delta h^{km}g^{rj} + \tau\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\alpha x_i^\beta \nu^\gamma x_m^\delta g^{mj} \\ &\quad -pH^{p-1}g^{kl}\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}x_m^\alpha x_k^\beta x_l^\delta(x_r^\gamma h_i^m g^{rj} + x_i^\gamma h^{mj}) \\ &\quad +(p-1)H^p\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\alpha x_i^\beta\nu^\gamma x_m^\delta g^{mj} \\ &\quad +pH^{p-1}g^{kl}\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\varepsilon}(\nu^\alpha x_k^\beta x_l^\gamma x_i^\delta x_m^\varepsilon g^{mj} + \nu^\alpha x_i^\beta x_k^\gamma x_m^\delta x_l^\varepsilon g^{mj}). \qquad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

We immediately deduce from (3.3)

Lemma 3.4. *If $H^p \geq \tau$ at $t = 0$, then for any $t \in [0, T^*)$, $H^p(t) \geq \tau$.*

4 Lower Bound Estimate

The evolution problem (3.1) exists on a maximum time interval $I = [0, T^*)$. We will prove that $T^* = \infty$. Because of the short time existence, and the initial hypersurface M_0 is a graph over S_0, we can write

$$M(t) = \operatorname{graph} u(t) = \{(u(t, x(t)), x(t)) : \ x(t) \in S_0\}, \qquad \forall t \in I,$$

where u is defined in the cylinder $Q_{T^*} = I \times S_0$. From (3.1) and using (2.7) for $\alpha = 0$ one sees that u satisfies a parabolic equation of the form

$$\dot{u} = -e^{-\psi}v^{-1}(H^p - \tau), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\dot{u}$ is a total derivative, i. e.

$$\dot{u} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u_i\dot{x}^i, \tag{4.2}$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -e^{-\psi}v(H^p - \tau). \tag{4.3}$$

Consequently, from Lemma 3.4, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ is non positive. Next we shall prove that the flow stays in a precompact region in finite time.

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a cosmological spacetime with a compact Cauchy hypersurface and satisfy condition (1.2). Then, for any finite T, $0 < T \leq T^*$, the flow (3.1) stays in a precompact region Ω_T for $0 \leq t \leq T$.*

Proof. First we claim that, we can choose a new time function $\widetilde{x}^0$ such that the Lorentzian metric of N also has the form (2.1), and the conformal factor satisfies $\widetilde{\psi} \geq 0$. Suppose that

$$\widetilde{x}^0 = \zeta(x^0), \quad \widetilde{x}^i = x^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

where ζ is a C^1 function with non-vanishing derivative. Then the metric is given by in the new coordinates

$$d\overline{s}_N^2 = e^{2\psi}(\dot{\zeta})^{-2}\left(-(d\widetilde{x}^0)^2 + (\dot{\zeta})^2\sigma_{ij}(\zeta^{-1}(\widetilde{x}^0), \widetilde{x})d\widetilde{x}^i d\widetilde{x}^j\right),$$

where the dot indicates the differentiation with respect to $\widetilde{x}^0$. If we let

$$(\dot{\zeta})^{-2} = exp(-2\inf_{x\in S_0}\psi(x^0, x)),$$

and we then have $\widetilde{\psi} = \psi - \inf_{x\in S_0}\psi(x^0, x))$, which is non-negative. This proves the claim, and therefore without loss of generality, we may assume $\psi \geq 0$ in the metric (2.1).

From (4.3) and Lemma 3.4 u is decreasing. Thus we only need to prove that u has a lower bound. Set $\varphi(t) = \inf_{S_0} u(x, t)$. We may assume there exists some $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that $\varphi(t_0) < 0$, otherwise u were bounded, and the lemma holds. The function φ is Lipschitz continuous and if x_t is such that the infimum is attained at x_t, then $\frac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x_t)$ holds for a.e. t, (cf. [6], Lemma 3.2). By (3.2) and (1.2), and using again Lemma 3.2 of [6], we see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sup_{S_0} H \leq \Lambda(\sup_{S_0} H^p - \tau) \leq \Lambda\sup_{S_0} H^p,$$

which implies that

$$\sup H^{1-p}(t) \leq \sup H^{1-p}(0) + (1-p)\Lambda t \tag{4.4}$$

for $p \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\sup H(t) \leq \sup H(0)e^{\Lambda t} \tag{4.5}$$

for $p = 1$. We therefore have

$$\frac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \geq -(\sup_{S_0} H^{1-p}(0) + (1-p)\Lambda t)^{\frac{p}{1-p}} - \tau, \quad for \quad 0 < p < 1,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial\varphi(t)}{\partial t} \geq -C_1 e^{\Lambda t} \quad \textit{for} \quad p = 1.$$

From these inequalities we immediately deduce for $0 < p < 1$

$$\varphi(t) \geq -\tau T - C_2 - C_1\big(\sup_{S_0} H^{1-p}(0) + (1-p)\Lambda T\,\big)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

and for $p = 1$

$$\varphi(t) \geq \varphi(t_0) - C_1 e^{\Lambda t}, \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

proving the lemma. □

5 C^1 Estimates

We consider a smooth solution of the evolution equation (3.1) in a maximum time interval $[0, T^*)$. In order to prove that the hypersurfaces remain uniformly space-like, we only have to prove that the term

$$\widetilde{v} = v^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - |Du|^2}}$$

is uniformly bounded in finite time, i.e. in $Q_T = [0, T] \times S_0$ for any $0 < T < T^*$. We shall apply the maximum principle to the evolution equation of the quantity $w = \widetilde{v}\varphi$, where φ is defined in (5.8) below. This was first used by Gerhardt and the following proof is a slight modification in [3]. Let η be the covariant vector field $(\eta_\alpha) = e^{\psi}(-1, 0, \cdots, 0)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The quantity $\widetilde{v}$ satisfies the the evolutive equation*

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{\widetilde{v}} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\widetilde{v} = \\ &= \quad -pH^{p-1}\|A\|^2\widetilde{v} - 2pH^{p-1}h^{ij}x_i^\alpha x_j^\beta \eta_{\alpha\beta} - pH^{p-1}g^{ij}\eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma \nu^\alpha \\ &\qquad -pH^{p-1}\overline{R}_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha x_k^\beta \eta_\gamma x_l^\gamma g^{kl} - (p-1)H^p \eta_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta - \tau\eta_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. Covariant differentiation of $\widetilde{v} = <\eta, \nu>$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{v}_i &= \eta_{\alpha\beta}x_i^\beta \nu^\alpha + \eta_\alpha \nu_i^\alpha, \\ \widetilde{v}_{ij} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}x_i^\beta x_j^\gamma \nu^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha\beta}x_{ij}^\beta \nu^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha\beta}x_i^\beta \nu_j^\alpha + \eta_{\alpha\beta}x_j^\beta \nu_i^\alpha + \eta_\alpha \nu_{ij}^\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and the time derivative of $\widetilde{v}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\widetilde{v}} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^\beta \nu^\alpha + \eta_\alpha \dot{\nu}^\alpha = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta(H^p - \tau) + \eta_\alpha \nabla^k(H^p)x_k^\alpha \\ &= \eta_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta(H^p - \tau) + pH^{p-1}\nabla^k H x_k^\alpha \eta_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Now by Weingarten formula (2.4) and using Codazzi equation (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_\alpha \nu^\alpha_{ij} g^{ij} &= \eta_\alpha g^{ij} g^{kl}(h_{il,j} x^\alpha_k + h_{il} x^\alpha_{kj}) \\ &= \eta_\alpha g^{ij} g^{kl}\left(x^\alpha_k[h_{ij,l} + \overline{R}_{\varepsilon\beta\gamma\delta}\nu^\varepsilon x^\beta_i x^\gamma_l x^\delta_j] + h_{il}h_{kj}\nu^\alpha\right) \\ &= \eta_\alpha \nabla^k H x^\alpha_k + \overline{R}_{\varepsilon\gamma}\nu^\varepsilon \eta^\alpha x^\alpha_k x^\gamma_l g^{kl} + \eta_\alpha \nu^\alpha ||A||^2. \qquad (5.4)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) into (5.1), we get the lemma. □

The following lemma is a result in [3]

Lemma 5.2. *Consider the flow in a precompact region* Ω_T. *Then there is a constant* $c = c(\Omega_T) > 0$ *such that for any positive function* $0 < \epsilon = \epsilon(x)$ *on* S_0 *and any hypersurface* $M(t)$ *of the flow we have*

$$|||\nu||| \le c\widetilde{v},$$

$$g^{ij} \le c\widetilde{v}^2\sigma^{ij} \quad (\textit{as operators}),$$

$$|h^{ij}\eta_{\alpha\beta}x^\alpha_i x^\beta_j| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}||A||^2\widetilde{v} + \frac{c}{2\epsilon}\widetilde{v}^3.$$

Using the previous lemmas, and that $|H^p\eta_{\alpha\beta}\nu^\alpha\nu^\beta| \le cH^p\widetilde{v}^2$, $|||\nu||| \le c\widetilde{v}$, and the use of the Young inequality and the relation $H^2 \le n||A||^2$ leads to:

Lemma 5.3. *There is constant* $c = c(\Omega_T)$ *such that for any positive function* $0 < \epsilon = \epsilon(x)$ *on* S_0, *the term* $\widetilde{v}$ *satisfies a parabolic inequality of the form*

$$\dot{\widetilde{v}} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\widetilde{v} \le -pH^{p-1}(1-\epsilon)||A||^2\widetilde{v} + pH^{p-1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\widetilde{v}^3 + c\widetilde{v}^2, \qquad (5.5)$$

We stress that this constant c depends on Ω_T and on all geometric quantities of the ambient space restricted to Ω we have ben considering. Now set $u^i = g^{ij}u_j$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $M(t) = \mathit{graph}\, u(t)$ *be the flow hypersurfaces, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{u} - pH^{p-1}\Delta u &= (p-1)H^p e^{-\psi}\widetilde{v} + \tau e^{-\psi}\widetilde{v} \\ &\quad + pH^{p-1}(-e^{-\psi}g^{ij}\overline{h}_{ij} + \overline{\Gamma}^0_{00}||Du||^2 + 2\overline{\Gamma}^0_{0i}u^i). \qquad (5.6)\end{aligned}$$

This can be easily derived using (2.8). The following is a lemma in [3]

Lemma 5.5. *Let* $M \subset \Omega$ *be a graph over* S_0, *then*

$$|\widetilde{v}_i u^i| \le c\widetilde{v}^3 + ||A||e^\psi||Du||^2.$$

Finally we obtain a uniform bound of $\widetilde{v}$, the proof of which is an adaptation to our case of the proof of Proposition 3.7 of [3].

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\Omega \in N$ be precompact. Then as long as the flow stays in Ω, the term $\widetilde{v}$ remains uniformly bounded*

$$\widetilde{v} \leq c = c(\Omega, M_0). \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. Let μ, λ be positive constants, where μ is small and λ large, and take

$$\varphi = e^{\mu e^{\lambda u}}. \tag{5.8}$$

We may assume that $u \geq 1$, otherwise we replace in (5.8) u by $u + c$ for some c large enough. We also assume that $\widetilde{v} \geq 1$. We will see that choosing λ and μ conveniently, then $w = \widetilde{v}\varphi$ will be uniformly bounded. From

$$\dot{\varphi} = \varphi\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\dot{u},$$

$$\Delta\varphi = \varphi(\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u})^2||Du||^2 + \mu\lambda^2\varphi e^{\lambda u}||Du||^2 + \mu\lambda\varphi e^{\lambda u}\Delta u,$$

and Lemma 5.2 and 5.4 we conclude that

$$\dot{\varphi} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\varphi \leq c\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}(pH^{p-1})\widetilde{v}^2\varphi - pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}\left(1 + \mu e^{\lambda u}\right)||Du||^2\varphi,$$

where we use $0 < p \leq 1$. This inequality, Lemma 5.3 and 5.5 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} - pH^{p-1}\Delta w &= (\dot{\widetilde{v}} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\widetilde{v})\varphi + \widetilde{v}(\dot{\varphi} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\varphi) - 2pH^{p-1}D\widetilde{v}D\varphi \\ \leq & \quad -pH^{p-1}(1-\epsilon)||A||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi + pH^{p-1}c\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\widetilde{v}^3\varphi + pH^{p-1}c\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi \\ & \quad -pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}\left(1 + \mu e^{\lambda u}\right)||Du||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi - 2pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\varphi\widetilde{v}_i u_j g^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and in the above computations, we have used that H is bounded in finite time by Lemma 3.4, (4.4) and (4.5). Note that the last term is $\leq pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\varphi\left(c\widetilde{v}^3 + ||A||e^{\varphi}||Du||^2\right)$. From the above we lastly arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} - pH^{p-1}\Delta w \leq & -pH^{p-1}(1-\epsilon)||A||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi + pH^{p-1}c\left(1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\widetilde{v}^3\varphi \\ & +pH^{p-1}c\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi - pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}\{1 + \mu e^{\lambda u}\}||Du||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi \\ & +2pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}||A||e^{\psi}||Du||^2\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last term on the right hand side by

$$\begin{aligned} & 2pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}||A||e^{\psi}||Du||^2\varphi \leq \\ \leq & \quad pH^{p-1}||A||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi(1-\epsilon) + \frac{pH^{p-1}}{1-\epsilon}\mu^2\lambda^2 e^{2\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^{-1}e^{2\psi}||Du||^4\varphi, \end{aligned}$$

and since $e^{2\psi}||Du||^2 \leq \widetilde{v}^2$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{w} - pH^{p-1}\Delta w \quad &\leq \quad pH^{p-1}c\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)\widetilde{v}^3\varphi + pH^{p-1}c\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}-1\right)pH^{p-1}\mu^2\lambda^2 e^{2\lambda u}\widetilde{v}||Du||^2\varphi \\ &\quad - pH^{p-1}\mu\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}||Du||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi. \qquad (5.9)\end{aligned}$$

By setting $\epsilon = e^{-\lambda u}$, from (5.9) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{w} - pH^{p-1}\Delta w \quad &\leq \quad pH^{p-1}c\mu\lambda e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi + pH^{p-1}ce^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi \\ &\quad + pH^{p-1}\left(\frac{\mu}{1-\epsilon}-1\right)\mu\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}|Du||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi. \quad (5.10)\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and λ_0 so large that $\frac{\mu}{1-\epsilon} \leq \frac{3}{4}, \quad \forall\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Since $||Du||^2 = (\widetilde{v}^2 - 1)e^{-2\psi} \geq \widetilde{v}^2 e^{-2\psi} \geq c\widetilde{v}^2$ we conclude that the last term on the right hand side of (5.10) is less than

$$-\frac{1}{8}\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}||Du||^2\widetilde{v}\varphi pH^{p-1} \leq -c\lambda^2 e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi pH^{p-1}.$$

Now (5.10) reduces to the form

$$\dot{w} - pH^{p-1}\Delta w \leq cpH^{p-1}(1+\lambda-\lambda^2)e^{\lambda u}\widetilde{v}^3\varphi.$$

Choosing λ large enough such that $\lambda > \lambda_0$, and applying the parabolic maximum principle to w gives

$$w \leq c = c(|w(0)|_{S_0}, \lambda_0, \Omega).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

6 Higher Order Estimates and Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove that as long as the flow stays in a precompact set $\Omega \subset N$, the norm of the second fundamental form of the evolutive hypersurface is a prior bounded by a constant depending only on Ω and the initial hypersurface M_0.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\Omega \subset N$ be a precompact region and assume that the flow (3.1) stays in Ω during the evolution. Then the principal curvatures of the evolution hypersurfaces $M(t)$ are uniformly bounded.*

Proof. We follow close [3] to prove that $\varphi = \sup\{h_{ij}\eta^i\eta^j : ||\eta|| = 1\}$ is uniformly bounded. The principal curvatures are bounded from above, for H is positive (see Lemma 3.4). Let $0 < T < T^*$, and $x_0 = x_0(t_0)$, with $0 < t_0 \leq T$, be a point in $M(t_0)$ such that

$$\sup_{M_0} \varphi < \sup\{\sup_{M_t} \varphi : 0 < t \leq T\} = \varphi(x_0).$$

We choose a local normal coordinate system (ξ^i) at the point $x_0 \in M(t_0)$ such that at $x_0 = x(t_0, \xi_0)$ we have $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, $\varphi = h^n_n$ and h_{ij} is diagonalized. Consider the contravariant vector field $\widetilde{\eta} = (\widetilde{\eta}^i) = (0, 0, \cdots, 0, 1)$, and define on a neighbourhood of (t_0, ξ_0) the function

$$\widetilde{\varphi} = \frac{h_{ij}\widetilde{\eta}^i\widetilde{\eta}^j}{g_{ij}\widetilde{\eta}^i\widetilde{\eta}^j}.$$

$\widetilde{\varphi}$ assumes its maximum at (t_0, ξ_0), and at this point, $\dot{\widetilde{\varphi}} = \dot{h}^n_n$ and the spatial derivatives do coincide. So, at (t_0, ξ_0), $\widetilde{\varphi}$ satisfies the same differential equation (3.4) as h^n_n. From the estimates in preceding sections, we deduce from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\dot{\varphi} - pH^{p-1}\Delta\varphi \leq pH^{p-1}\left(c(1 + h^n_n) + (h^n_n)^2 - ||A||^2 h^n_n\right), \tag{6.1}$$

where $0 < p \leq 1$ and again H is bounded in finite time. From (6.1) and the maximum principle we deduce that φ is uniformly bounded.

□

Suppose that $T^* < \infty$, in the flow equation (4.3). Then from Lemma 4.1, we know that the flow stays in a compact region of N, i.e u stays uniformly bounded. Furthermore, in view of Theorem 5.1 the first derivative Du stays also uniformly bounded. Finally Lemma 6.1 (with (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10)) we obtain uniform C^2-estimate for u. Since in finite time, H is bounded from above by (4.4) and (4.5), and we also know that H has a positive lower bound by Lemma 3.4, applying the standard regularity results [9] (see also [11]) we obtain $C^{2,\alpha}$-estimates from the C^2-estimates of u, leading further to uniform $C^{m,\alpha}$-estimates for any positive integer m. But this contradicts to the maximality of T^*. Therefore, $T^* = \infty$, i.e., the flow exists for all time.

Now we further assume that the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{x\in S_0} u(t, x)$ is bounded from below. Then from the proof of Lemma 4.1 we know that the flow hypersurfaces $M(t)$ stay in a precompact region of N for all t. Integrating (4.3) with respect to t, and observing that the right hand side is non positive, yields

$$u(0, x) - u(t, x) = \int_0^t e^{-\psi} v(H^p - \tau) \geq c \int_0^t (H^p - \tau),$$

i. e.

$$\int_0^\infty (H^p - \tau) < \infty, \quad \forall x \in S_0.$$

Hence for any $x \in S_0$, there is a sequence $t_k \to \infty$ such that, $H^p \to \tau$. On the other hand, $u(\cdot, x)$ is monotone decreasing and therefore

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t,x) = \widetilde{u}(x)$$

exists and has desired regularity. We therefore have proved the following theorem

Theorem 6.1. *Let N be a cosmological spacetime with compact Cauchy hypersurface S_0 and M_0 a closed spacelike hypersurface in N such that $H \geq \sqrt[p]{\tau}$. If the curvature condition (1.2) is satisfied, then the evolution equation (3.1) with initial hypersurface M_0 exists for all time, when $p \in (0,1]$. Moreover if we further assume that the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{x\in S_0} u(t,x)$ is bounded from below, then the solutions to (3.1) converge to a stationary spacelike hypersurface with mean curvature $\sqrt[p]{\tau}$, as $t \to \infty$.*

The positive number τ is arbitrary, letting $\tau \to 0$ in the above theorem, we obtain that the power mean curvature flow (1.1) exists for all time. If we further assume the limit $\lim_{t\to\infty} \inf_{x\in S_0} u(t,x)$ is bounded from below, the flow hypersurfces $M(t)$ of (1.1) converge to a stationary maximum spacelike hypersurface. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] Andrews B., *Motion of hypersurfaces by Gauss curvature*. Pacific J. Math. **195**(2000), no. 1, 1–34.

[2] Ecker K. and Huisken G., *Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes*. Comm. Math. Phys. **135**(1991), no. 3, 595–613.

[3] Gerhardt C., *Hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*. Math. Z. **235**(2000), no. 1, 83–97.

[4] Gerhardt C., *Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **49**(2000), no. 3, 1125–1153.

[5] Gerhardt C., *Hypersurfaces of prescribed scalar curvature in Lorentzian manifolds*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **554**(2003), 157–199.

[6] Gerhardt C., *The inverse mean curvature flow in cosmological spacetimes*. arXiv: math.DG/0403097 v1, 2004.

[7] Gerhardt C., *The inverse mean curvature flow in ARW spaces–transtion from big Crunch to big Bang*. arXiv: math.DG/0403485 v2, 2004.

[8] Huisken G., *Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres*. J. Differential Geom. **20**(1984), no. 1, 237–266.

[9] Krylov N. V. *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*. D. Reidel, 1987.

[10] Schulze F., *Evolution of convex hypersurfaces by powers of the mean curvature*. Math. Z. 2005.

[11] Zhu X., *Lectures on Mean Curvature Flow*. Studies on Advanced Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, International Press, **32**(2002).